

SI. No.	W.P.No.	Petitioners & Respondents	Relief sought / Relief Granted	Filing/ Direction/
				Follow-up
1	WPMP 1779/93	-do-	Relief Sought by respondents 5 and 6:to vacate the interim order in W.P.M.P.No.10794/92 in W.P.No.8737/92,dt 15-7-92	Disposed on :14-07-1992
2	W.A.32/93	Smt.Rajulamma (Tribe) Vs The Agent to Government, Rampachodavaram & 3 others		Dismissed
	<b>A.R.R.</b>			
3	WPMP No.3108/93	Kalumukota Nukaraju Vs	<b>Relief Sought:</b> (WPMP.3108/93) To implead the petitioner as respondent No.4.	Filed on <b>1-3-93</b>
	WPMP No.6426/92	1. L.Venkata raju		<a href="#">Order</a>
	<a href="#">In WP No.5079</a>	2. The Chief Secretary, Govt of A.P	<b>Relief Sought:</b> (WVMP.315/92) to vacate the stay granted in WPMP No.6426/92 in W.P.No.5079/92	
	WPMVP No.315/92	3. Dist.Collector E.G, Kakinada 4. The Project Officer ITDA Rampachodavaram on behalf of Kunjam peda Abbayi		
4	<a href="#">14110/94</a>	Manganti Demuthi & Jiyanna Vs Ganta Ganga & others East Godavari		<b>Disposed On : 11-Nov-1994</b>
5	<a href="#">5079/92</a>	L.Venkata Raju Vs	<b>Brief Note:</b> Originally this land belongs to tribal herein. But the non-tribals falsely got issued settlement patta the same was cancelled by the Dist.Collector (Director of Settlement) agrived by the said order. The non-tribal filed writ petition questioning the validity of G.O.MS.No.741/92.	
	CMA No. 5/91		<b>Relief Sought:</b> Call for records relating to Appeal No.5/91 on the file of the 2nd respondent and quash the order in appeal No.5/91 dt.28-3-1992 passed by the 2nd respondent herein	Filed on <b>15-4-92.</b>
		1. The Chief Secretary, Govt of A.P	***	Direction on <b>4.4.94</b>
		2. Dist.Collector E.G,Kakinada	<b>Relief Granted:</b> "Writ petition is dismissed".	land restored to tribals.
	<b>A.R.R.</b>	3. The Project Officer ITDA Rampachodavaram on behalf of Kunjam peda Abbayi		
		4. K.Nookaraju		<b>Disposed On : 04-Apr-1994</b>
6	<a href="#">W.P.No. 5630/92</a>	B.Appa Rao Vs	<b>Relief Sought:</b> Call for records relating to Appeal No.5/91 on the file of the 2nd respondent and quash the order in appeal No.5/91 dt.28-3-1992 passed by the 2nd respondent herein .	Direction on 4.4.94
	LTRP No. 250/88	1. The Chief Secretary, Govt of A.P		
	CMA 3/91	2. Dist.Collector E.G, Kakinada	<b>Relief Granted:</b> "Writ petition is dismissed".	<b>Disposed On : 04-Apr-1994</b>
		3. The Project Officer ITDA Rampachodavaram on behalf of Kunjam peda Abbayi		
		4.Mulasala Sankuraiah (R4)		
	<b>A.R.R.</b>			
	<a href="#">5925/88</a>	Chepa Seetayamma		W.A.Pending

SI. No.	W.P.No.	Petitioners & Respondents	Relief sought / Relief Granted	Filing/ Direction/
				Follow-up
7		<b>Vs</b> Avuri Peda Ramulu		<b>Disposed On : 16-Mar-1994</b>
	<b>A.R.R.</b>			
8	<a href="#">W.P.No.4661 of 1995</a>	Senapathi Apparao and 2 others	<b>Relief Sought:</b> pleased to order Stay of our eviction from the land measuring Ac.4.00 cents in S.No.26/3A and 26/33 of Pidathamamidi village, Gangavaram mandal, E.G.District.pending disposal of the above writ petition and pass such further or other orders as may be deemed fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.	Filed on 10-03-1995
		<b>And</b> Collector, Kakinada and others.		<b>PENDING</b>
	G.M. 81			
9	Cr.P.632/95	K.Venkataram Chowdary <b>Vs</b> Ballem Pentanna dora & others(Tribals)	Impleaded	Pending tribal are in possession
10	SA 428/95	Maganti Denulu <b>Vs</b>	<b>Relief Granted:</b>	
	CMP 1465/95	Ganta Ganga & Borapa Venkateswarlu (Tribal)	"Remanded to SDM Court, Rampa Chodavaram for fresh enquiry".	Pending at SDM Court Rampachodavaram.
	<b>A.R.R.</b>			
11	<a href="#">14342/96</a>	Chapa Seethayamma, Singampalli <b>Vs</b> Collector, Kakinada and others	<b>Relief Granted:</b> "The W.P. disposed of with a direction to pass appropriate order with in a period of four months".	Disposed off in favour of tribals. <b>Disposed On : 22-Jul-1996</b>
	<a href="#">W.P. No. 1133/89</a>	Palli Ramulu (Non-Tribe)	<b>Brief Note:</b> This land belongs to Duvvula Bhimayya (tribe) who is maternal grand father of Madiri Latchanna (tribal) but non-tribal occupied this lands. SDC Court issued order in favour of Madiri Latchanna LTRP No.376/84 and CMA No.56/88 also went in his favour. The Non-tribal filed a writ petition in High Court.	Filed on January 1989
	<b>C.M.A. No. 56/88</b>	Babbarapu Somamma(Non Tribe)		Counter of 5th respondent
	L.T.R. NO. 376/84		<b>Relief Sought by the petitioner:</b> For calling for the records relating to C.M.A No.56/88 of the file of the first respondent and quash the order dt.9-11-88 passed therein confirming the order of the 2nd respondent passed in LTRP No.376/84.	Filed on March 95 case is pending.
		<b>Vs</b>		
			Counter affidavit of the Madiri Lachanna (tribal)	Madiri Latchanna, the tribal is cultivating the land
12	<b>A.R.R.</b>	1. Agent to Govt. (Dist. Collector)E.G Kakinada		

SI. No.	W.P.No.	Petitioners & Respondents	Relief sought / Relief Granted	Filing/ Direction/
				Follow-up
		2. Deputy Collector, Rampachodavaram 3. Special Deputy Tahsildar Rajavommangi. 4. M.R.O. Rajavommangi, E.G Dist. 5. adiri.Lachanna(Tribal)	<i>The respondents have correctly decided the issue as the burden of the land as on the date of the proceedings initiated under A.P.Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Regulation, 1959 and the principle of res judicata does not apply to the beneficial provisions of the A.P.Scheduled Area Land Transfer Regulation.I also submit that section 3 of Regulaation ,1959 starts with non-obstants clause and hence the patta granted by the Settlement Officer is not binding on the authorities under theA.P.Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Regulation.</i>	<b>Disposed On :</b>  <b>04-Dec-1996</b>
13	W.P.No. 24712/95	1. Vagu Sreerama chandra murthy	<b>Brief Note:</b> the petition scheduled land registered in the name of Kambam Samayya from him the fatehr of the petitioner herein purchased in the year without agent to the government permission hence LTRPNo.49/90 filed by the tribals herein is the allowed aggrieved by the said order non-tribal an appeal in CMA No.50/90 it was also dismissed on that this writ petition filed and got obtained the stay order in WPMP No.30438/95 on that W.V.MP.No.2869/96 stay vacate petition filed.	Filed on <b>19-10-95</b>
	LTRP No. 49/96	2. Vagu. Venkateswara rao	<b>Relief Sought:</b> To grant stay of our eviction from the land measuring Ac,1.25 cents in S.No.9/7 of Gondolu village Addateegala mandal	
	CMA No.50/91			Disposed on:
	CMA No.51/91		<b>Relief Granted:</b> "dismissed as the remedy of revision is available to the petitioners."	<b>12/29/1996</b>
	CMP No.53/91	<b>Vs</b>		
		1. Collector, Kakinda		
	<b>A.R.R.</b>	2. Dy. Collector, Rampachodavaram		
		3. M.R.O Addateegala		
		4. Khambam Venkanna		
		5. Khambam Nukaraju(died)		
	6. Khambam Balaiah			
	7. Khambam Manikyam			
	8. Khambam Yesuratnam			
	9. Khambam Abbulu			
14	WPNo	1. Vagu Sreeramachandra murthy	<b>Brief Note:</b> the petition scheduled land registered in the name of Kambam Samayya from him the petitioner herein said have been purchased in the year 1932 the permission was not obtained. Inturn leased out the land to Gonney Baburao hence LTRP no.48/95 is allowed. Aggrieved by the order file appeal CMA No. 51/91 the same was also dismissed. On that this petition was filed.	Filed on <b>11-10-95</b>
	23065/95	2. Vagu. Venkateswara rao <b>Vs</b>	<b>Relief Sought:</b> to grant stay of our eviction from te land measuring Ac.1.25 in S.No.9/7 of Gondolu Village Addateegala mandal,E.G Dist.	
	LTRP No.48/95			Direction on:
	CMA 50/91	1. Collector Kakinada	<b>Relief Granted:</b> " dismissed as the remedy of revision is available to the petitioners".	<b>12/29/1996</b>
		2. Deputy Collector Rampachodavaram		
	<b>A.R.R.</b>	3. M.R.O.Addateegala		
		4. Khambam Aran		
	5. Khambam Mosu			
	6. Khambam Bhagyamma			
	7. Khambam Nagaraju			
	WPMP No.11605/95	1. Kalumukota Nookaraju		Filed on <b>March1995</b>
	WPMVP /95	2. Kalumukota Nookaraju		Impleaded on <b>8-4-96</b>

SI. No.	W.P.No.	Petitioners & Respondents	Relief sought / Relief Granted	Filing/ Direction/
				Follow-up
15	<b>A.R.R.</b>	<b>Vs</b>	<b>Relief Sought:</b> (WPMP.11605/95) To implead the petitioner as 3rd respondent.	Pending
		1. Dist. Collector		
		2. The Project office I.T.D.A		
		3. Lolabhata Venkata Raju		
16	C.SS & LR's Case No.L3/1140/92,  Dt.12.09.1997	Between:	<b>Relief Granted:</b> The supported receipts do not indicate the S.Nos., or local names of the land in respect of which the payment is accepted. The same claimants who stated before the Spl.Dy.Tahsildar, and Special Tahsildar that they have no lease documents or receipts with them in support of their claims produced the same before the S.O., bearing tell-tale evidence in both cases of over-writing against Eksala entry and interpolation of the date in the first case. The S.O., did not verify the relevant Estate/Village Records nor the claimants produced the certified copies there of before the Collector or this Court which leads to one to believe that the documents produced are false and created for getting patta.	<a href="#">Case material</a>
		1. Sri Badireddi Tatarao, S/o Nukaraju,,		
		2. Smt.Badireddi Simhachalam,W/o. Nukaraju ... Appellants.		
		<b>AND</b>		
		1. Project Officer, I.T.D.A., R.Chodavram.		
		2. Kichela Lakshmayya (died), S/o. Nukayya		
		Per L.Rs. 1. Ammanna (wife)		
		2. K.Surya Rao (son)		
		3. K.Chanda Rao (son)		
		4. K.Somalingam (son)		
		5. K.Nookaraju (son)		
		... Respondents.		
		17		
The Govt. of A.P. and 3 others				

SI. No.	W.P.No.	Petitioners & Respondents	Relief sought / Relief Granted	Filing/ Direction/
				Follow-up
18	<a href="#">W.P.No.7703/89</a>	1. Smt.Padala Gani Raju	<b>Brief Note:</b> In 1933 RSR the plaint schedule lands are registered in the name of Parisika Pakeeru (tribal) but this lands under possession and enjoyment of Non-tribals petitioner herein the possession of the non-tribal are void under agency regulation as such LTRP No.18/89 filed by Parisika Pakeeru is allowed agrived by the said order this petition is filed by non-tribals. On 6.3.95 Legal Heir petition filed by Parisika Parvathamma after death of Parisika Pakeeru.	Filed on <b>11-6-1989.</b>
	LTRP No.18/89	2. Padala Jaggayya	Note: In election petition O.P.No.2/87 decided that Padala family belongs to Kapu community.	
	CMA No.44/89	3. Padala Veereswara rao		Disposed On:
		4. Padala Veerabhadra rao	<b>Relief Sought:</b> calling for the records relating to LTRP No.18/89, examine the same and quash the same as highly illegal, arbitrary, high-handed, biased and void	<b>27-Nov-1997</b>
		5. Anantha Mangaratnam		
				Judgement was given with a direction to SDC
	<b>A.R.R.</b>	<b>Vs</b>		
		1. The Dy.Collector T.W., E.G.Dist. 2. The M.R.O. Addateegala 3. Parsika Pakeeru (tribal)		
19	<a href="#">18453/88</a>	1.Maganti Satyanarayana	<b>Brief Note:</b> Originally this land belongs to grand father of Pamarthi Krishna LTR Order is given in favour of tribal in LTRP No.196/88 agrived by the said order. Non-tribals file this writ petition.	Filed on <b>9-12-88.</b>
	LTRP NO. 196/88	2.Maganti Venkatarao	<b>Relief Sought:</b> to stay dispossession to the petitioners from their land measuring Ac.10-98 in S.No.14/1, 3 and 4 of Patharamavaram village,Ganqavaram mandal, E.G.Dist.	
			***	It is Pending
		<b>Vs</b>	The apprehension is only imaginary.Hence the writ petition is a premature one. If no enquiry is conducted into the complaints of the tribal petitioners the very purpose of establishing the Special Tribunal is defeated and the tribal will be put to a great loss. All the non-tribals who have acquired lands in the Agency area approaching the H.Court at the enquiry stage of the L.T.R.Ps and obtained stay orders by misrepresenting the facts to the Hon'ble H.Court and the officers appointed under L.T.R are being prevented from exercising their statutory powers enjoined under law. The plea of the writ petitioners that the earlier judgement in the L.T.R.P case operates as resjudicata is not tenable. The Hon'ble H.Court of Andhra Pradesh in its judgement dt.21-12-85 in W.P. 6065/79 observed that the mere fact that on the earlier occasion it was dismissed does not confer any right on the petitioner so long as the statutory order subsists (viz) the void sale subsists.	
	<b>A.R.R.</b>			Disposed On:
		1.Deputy Collector(T.W) Rampachodavaram,E.G. 2.M.R.O. Gangavaram mandal,E.G 3.Pamarthi Krishna		<b>6-Mar-98</b>
20	Cr.P.No.	1.Pallala Subba Reddy	<b>Relief Sought:</b> memoramdum of grounds of Civil Revision Petition against the proceedings in O.S.No.16/97 on file of the 1st respondent	Filed on
	<a href="#">399/98</a>	2.Gorle Raghavendram	The inaction of the 1st respondent is illegal and contrary to law.	<b>Feb-98</b>
		3.Gaduturi Chinna rao		Remanded to Dist. Collector
		4.Kanagala Ramulamma		Disposed in favour of petitioner
	<b>A.R.R.</b>	5.poleji Samayya		Disposed On: <b>04-Feb-1998</b>
		<b>Vs</b>	1.The Agent to Govt. E.G, Kakinada 2.Dokkuluri Karnaiyah 3.Karam Appanna Dora 4.Vindela Samayya	

SI. No.	W.P.No.	Petitioners & Respondents	Relief sought / Relief Granted	Filing/ Direction/
				Follow-up
21	<a href="#">15535/91</a>	1. R.M.Yerram Raju, <b>Vs</b>	<b>Brief Note:</b> Nellipudi and Kurambondi villages of Gangavarma mandal are Mukhasa villages. Petitioner herein is sanda to Mukhasa villages. Mukhasa and Muttas are abolish under regulation 2 of 1969 the government issued the notice to petitioners as per GOMSN0.855 Dt.29.6.91 to handover the lands. As per our cases concerned grand father of Patara Gangaraju and Patara Vasarao (tribals) of Chinmpalem cultivated this land by podu cultivation. Hence implead petition filed.	Filed on <b>23-11-91</b> .
	WPMP 19843/91		<b>Relief Sought:</b> to quash the G.O.M.S.No. 855-Revenue Department dt.26-9-1991 issued by the Govt. of A.P,Hyd. And for a consequential direction, directing the Respondents herein not to interfere with the uninterrupted possession and enjoyment of the petitioner's village.	
		1. Govt. of A.P rep. by its Secretary	***	Direction on <b>3.7.1999</b>
		2. M.R.O.,Gangavaram	<b>Relief Granted:</b> "The fact remains that there is no land whatever granted recognizing him as the Mokhaadar. It is submitted by the respondent that even as on today, no document whatsoever are made available by the yarram raju(petitioner) claiming to be the mokhaadar. Under those circumstances, it is not possible for this court to uphold the plea put-forth by the petitioner herein claiming to be the mokhaadar . The other avertments made in the counter -affidavit challenging the very claim of the petitioner to be the mokhaadar, need not be referred. Suffice it to notice that the petitioner failed himself to establish that he is the mokhaadar. the then M.R.O Gangavaram took possession of the said village on 11.11.1991 and 12.11.1991.The petitioner is not in possession nor entitled to be in possession of the same. The W.P failed and accordingly stands dismissed."	
	<b>K.S.M.</b>	3. Sub-collector, Rampachodavaram		Disposed On: <b>23-Jul-99</b>
22	16273/92	Datla Venkatapathi Raju, <b>Vs</b> Peppula Laxmi		for orders on <b>12.10.2000</b>
	<b>A.R.R.</b>			
23	<a href="#">WPMP. 16778/98 In R.M.P.No. 9948 of 1999 in W.P. No. 13933/98</a>		<b>Relief Sought:</b> I submit that I am a scheduled tribe women fighting for the last 15 years for my land. The writ petitioner is a non-tribal who occupied vast extents of land and I am not able to fight against him due to lack of finances and knowledge of court proceedings. The above facts would have come to light had the government filed counter giving the particulars of the cases. I seek indulgence of this Hon'ble court for not being able to give full particulars of the cases when the above writ petition came up for orders on 12.02.1999. It is therefore just and necessary that this Hon'ble court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the order in W.P.No.13933 of 1998. Otherwise I would suffer serious and irreparable loss.	Filed on <b>April 1999</b>
	LTRP No.688/79 CMA 25/91	Kalimikota Rajulamma <b>Vs</b> Datla Venkatapathi raju and others		Disposed On: <b>17-Jul-01</b>
	<b>A.R.R.</b>			

SI. No.	W.P.No.	Petitioners & Respondents	Relief sought / Relief Granted	Filing/ Direction/
				Follow-up
24	<a href="#">W.A.No.238/2000</a> in <a href="#">W.P.No.13933/98</a>	1. The Special Deputy Collector (TW), Rampachodavaram, E.G.Dist.	<b>Relief Granted:</b> "In the result and for the foregoing reasons. We cannot sustain the order of the learned single Judge. Accordingly, the writ appeal is allowed and the order of the learned single Judge dated 12.2.1999 is set aside and Writ Petition No.13933 of 1998 is dismissed with no order as to costs. As a consequence thereof. It is now open to the Spl.Dy.Collector (TW), Rampachodavara, the 1st appellant herein to take further steps pursuant to the notice in Form E issued to the 1st respondent under Rule 7(2) of the Rules, in accordance with law.	Order Dated:
		2. The S.D.T., TW, Rajavommangi, E.G.		<b>6/13/2002</b>
		3. The M.R.O., Rajavommangi, E.G.		Disposed On:
		<b>And</b>		<b>13-Jun-02</b>
		1. Datla Venkatrapasthi Raju r/o.Lakshminarasapuram, Anaparthi, E.G.		
	2. Kalimkota Rajulamma r/o. Rajavommangi, E.G.			
	3. Cheedipalli Tutayya r/o.Rajavommangi, E.G.			
	4. (r-3 herein is not necessary party)			
25	<a href="#">15619/94</a>	Bantupalli Appa Rao, Vs	<b>Brief Note:</b> the Petitioners reagitated the matter that is already dismissed by this Hon'ble court in W.P.No.5079/92 & 5630/92.	
	LTRP No.s 467/84		<b>Relief Sought:</b> to call for the records relating to the order in A.S.No.3/91 on the file of the respondents herein and quash the order in A.S.No.3/91 dt.21-3-92 passed by the respondent.	Filed on <b>18-8-94.</b>
	250/88	1. The Collector E.G.Dist. Kakinada	***	
	244/88	2. Mulasala Sankuraiah (Tribal)	Implead petition of Mulasala Sankuraiah filed on March 1994	It is Pending.
	248/88			Disposed On:
	<b>A.R.R.</b>			<b>25-Mar-2004</b>
26	<a href="#">W.P.No.2527 of 2003</a>	Chodi Narasanna Dora Vs.	<b>Relief Sought:</b> to direct the authorities to immediately construct houses for the petitioner along with other similarly placed persons in Kothada village, Gangavaram mandal, residing in chodi veedhi and Tekula veedhi while consider in the representation submitted by the petitioner on 6.11.2002. and other villagers, pending W.P.No.2527 of 2003 on the file of the High Court.	Order Date:
	W.P.M.P.3315 of 2003.	The Dist. Collector	<b>Relief Granted:</b> The court while directing issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause why this application should not be complied with made the following order. (Receipt of the order will be deemed to be the receipt of notice in the case).	<b>10-02-03.</b>
	84	The Revenue Div.officer, And 3 others		Disposed On :
				<b>2-Apr-04</b>
27	<a href="#">15927/94</a>	LolabhathaVenkata Raju Vs	<b>Brief Note:</b> the Petitioners reagitated the matter that is already dismissed by this Hon'ble court in W.P.No.5079/92 & 5630/92.	Filed on <b>10-8-1994.</b>
	<a href="#">WPMP10200/95</a>	Dist.Collector	<b>Relief Sought:(W.P.15927/94):</b> to call for the records relating to the order in A.S.No.5/91 on the file of the respondent herein and quash the order in A.S.No. 5/91 dt 28-3-1992 passed by the respondent.	Disposed On :
	<a href="#">WVMP /95</a>			<b>25-Mar-04</b>
	<b>A.R.R.</b>			

SI. No.	W.P.No.	Petitioners & Respondents	Relief sought / Relief Granted	Filing/ Direction/
				Follow-up
28	<a href="#">16559/91</a>	Datla Venkatapathi Raju Vs		Disposed On :
		Cheja Mallaiah		<b>2-Sep-04</b>
	<b>A.R.R.</b>	Datla Venkatapathi raju, Vs		Order Details
	<a href="#">1644/92</a>	Jerugudu Pondaiah		Disposed On :
	<b>A.R.R.</b>			<b>2-Sep-04</b> <a href="#">ORDERS</a>
29	<a href="#">9316/94</a>	Karukonda Naga Raju Vs	<b>Brief Note:</b> Originally this land belongs to Parsika Bullodu who is father of the respondent herein he sold the lands to non-tribals this transaction took place without the permission of the agent to government hence LTRP NO.60/90 filed by respondent herein is allowed. Aggrieved by the order petitioner herein preferred an appeal before agent to government in CMA No.31/91 it is also dismissed. On that he file the writ petition and got stay order in W.P.M.P.No.11375/94 stating that he belongs to Kondakapu caste.	<a href="#">Order wordfile</a>
	<b>A.R.R.</b>	1. The Agent to Govt. and the Dist. Collector	<b>Relief Sought:</b> Declaring that the Judgement of the 1st respondent in C.M.A No.31/91 dt 19-10-1993 confirming the orders of the 2nd respondent in L.T.R.P.No.60/90 dt. 19-2-91 as highly illegal, unjust and void and consequently set aside the same.	Filed on <b>9-5-1994.</b>
		2. The Deputy Collector E.G., Kakinada		
		3. The M.R.O. Addateegala		Pending
		4. Parsika Pakeeru		
				Disposed On :
				<b>2-Sep-04</b> <a href="#">ORDER DETAILS</a>
30	<a href="#">W.P.No. 5559/92</a>	Detla Venkatapathi Raju Vs		Disposed On :
		T.Balayya		<b>2-Sep-04</b>
	<b>A.R.R.</b>			ORDER DETAILS
31	<a href="#">WPNNo. 5250/96</a>	Ballula Veeraswamy Vs	Vakalat filed	Pending
	LTRP No. 186/78			
	C.M.A.81/80	1. The agent to Govt. Kakinada		Disposed On :
	<b>A.R.R.</b>	2. The Depy. Collector(T.W) Rampachodavaram		<b>24-Oct-05</b>
32	<a href="#">10660/96</a>	Koppu Rajulu Vs	<b>Brief Note:</b> regarding survey No.53/1 is stands registered in the name of Koppu Rajappa who is grand father of Rajulu but the land is in the possession and enjoyment of Gollapalli narasimhamurthy who is a non-tribal. The SDC court in LTRP NO.2/91 held that Koppu Rajulu is belongs to Mala community. Hence the transaction held in this case was not void. But the predecessors of same court in LTRP no.179/80 observed that Koppu Rajulu is belongs to Valmiki (ST) aggrieved by the order in LTRP No.2/91 preferred an appeal No. 30/95 before Agent to govt. The same was dismissed. Stating that he failed to explain delay in filing appeal on that this petition filed.	Filed on <b>April 1996</b>
	WPMP 12959		<b>Relief Sought:</b> calling for the records relating to C.M.P No. 20/95 in C.M.A.No. 30/95 dt. 18-12-1995 passed by the first respondent and quash the same as illegal and void consequently direct the first respondent to take up the appeal filed by petitioner on file and dispose of the same in accordance with law	It is pending
	LTRP No.2/91	1. Agent to Government, E.G.Dt, Kakinada		
	CMA No. 30/95	2. Spl. Dy. Collector(TW), Rampachodavaram,		Disposed On :
	CMP No. 25/95	3. Gollapalli Narasimha Murthy		<b>27-Feb-06</b>
		4. Revula Sundara Rao		



SI. No.	W.P.No.	Petitioners & Respondents	Relief sought / Relief Granted	Filing/ Direction/
				Follow-up
		5. Sreepuri Nema Venkateswarlu		<a href="#">ORDER DETAILS</a>
	<b>A.R.R.</b>	6. Sreepuri Raja Rao.		
33	<a href="#">W.P.No.19226/05</a>	1. Vadiyala Lakshmaiah	<p><b>Relief Sought:</b> Declaring the action of the Respondent No.1 in not ordering eviction of the R3 from all the land in Survey No.354/2 extending up to Ac.13.17 in Rajavommangi, Rajavommangi mandal, E.G.District as unconstitutional and consequently declare that no govt. land can be allowed to be in the possession of the non-tribal and consequently set aside that part of the final order of R1 in CMA No.7/98 which permits the R3 to retain the Ac.6.00 in Survey No. 354/2 in Rajavommangi, Rajavommangi mandal, E.G.Dist and pass such order or other orders as deemed fit in the interest of justice.</p>	Filed on 29-08-05
	Rajavommangi case	2. Vadiyala Suribabu		
		3. Rajavommangi		
	<b>K.S.M.</b>	<b>Vs.</b>		
		1. The Dist.Collector		
		2. Spl.Dy.Collector		
		3. M.Pedanookaraju		
		4. Murlavanipalem,		
	<a href="#">WP No. 8737/92</a>	1. K.Lakshmidevi	<p><b>Brief Note:</b> Petitioner herein obtained settlement patta the same was cancelled (Director of Settlement) aggrieved by the said order she filed a writ petition and obtained interim stay in W.P.No.10794/92.</p>	Filed on <b>14-7-92</b>
		2. Kondaka Gangadhara Rama Rao	<p><b>Relief Sought:</b> Call for the records relating to A.P. No.159/90 and 19/92 and quash the same declaring that G.O.Ms No. 741 Revenue Dept. dt.9-8-1990 conferring powers under Sec. 4(4) and Sec. 9 of A.P.Muttas (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Regulation, 1969, on the second respondent is grossly illegal and unenforceable.</p>	
				Disposed on:
34	<b>A.R.R.</b>	<b>Vs</b>	<p><b>Relief Granted:</b> "ordered that the operation of the order of the first respondent herein passed in A.P.No.159/90 and 19/92 on the file of the 1st respondent herein, be and hereby is suspended, pending further orders on this petition".</p>	<b>14-07-92.</b>
		1. Government of A.P. rep. by its Secretary		Disposed On:
		2. Dist. Collector E.G.		<b>25-Aug-09</b>
		3. Project officer I.T.D.A. Rampachodavaram		
		4. Settlement officer, Visakhapatnam		ORDER DETAILS
		5. Cheedikota Chitukulayya		
		6. Gangigedda Laxamma		
	<a href="#">WP No. 5188/92</a>	Peta Ramulu and others <b>Vs</b>	Impleaded.	Disposed On:
35	WPMP 6981/95	Mandapati Lakshmi or others	Vacate stay petition filed.	<b>1-Jul-09</b>
	<b>A.R.R.</b>			

SI. No.	W.P.No.	Petitioners & Respondents	Relief sought / Relief Granted	Filing/ Direction/
				Follow-up
36	<a href="#">W.P.No. 20998 of 2007</a>		<b>Relief Sought :</b> The petitioner in W.P.No.20998 of 2007 was in possession and enjoyment of Acs.2.64 cents of land in Survey No.241/3 of Jaddangi Village of Rajavommangi Mandal in East Godavari District. Respondents 4 and 5 therein approached the Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Rampachodavaram – the 2nd respondent herein, by filing L.T.R.P.No.150 of 2002 for eviction of petitioner from the land. The 2nd respondent dismissed the L.T.R.P. through order dated 22.02.2002. Aggrieved thereby, respondents 4 and 5 filed C.M.A.No.49 of 2005 before the Additional Agent to the Government, Rampachodavaram – the 1st respondent herein. The appeal was allowed on 06.01.2007. The petitioner states that though two months time is stipulated for filing revision before the Government, the Mandal Revenue Officer, Rajavommangi – the 3rd respondent herein evicted her on 07.01.2007 itself. She contends that such an action is illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional, apart from being contrary to the A.P. Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Rules, 1969 ('the Rules, for brevity).	
		Between:		
		Chikkala Rupavathi @ Satyavathi, W/o. Kamaraju, ..... PETITIONER		Order
		<b>AND</b>	<b>Relief Granted :</b> Accordingly, the writ petitions are disposed of directing the revisional authority i.e. the Government to dispose of the revisions filed by the petitioners within six (06) weeks from today. In case the revisions filed by the petitioners are not disposed of despite the petitioners being ready to cooperate, the 1st respondent in all the writ petitions, i.e., the Additional Agent to the Government, Rampachodavaram, East Godavari District shall be under the obligation to re-induct the petitioners into possession of the land, duly issuing notices to the effected parties. There shall be no order as to costs.	
		1.The Additional Agent to Government,East Godavari District, Ramapachodavaram.		<a href="#">Case detai</a>
		2.The Special Deputy Collector(Tribal Welfare) Rampachodavaram, East Godavari District.		
		3.The Mandal Revenue Officer, Rajavommangi, East Godavari District.		
		4. Tadikonda Bhulakshmi, W/o. Nageswara Rao, R/o.Jaddangi Village, Rajavommangi Mandal, East Godavari District.		
		5.Shakti Voluntary Organization, Rep. by its Director Shiva Rama Krishna,		
		Ramapchodavaram, East Godavari District.		
6.The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Secretariat, at Hyderabad.				